UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/748,890	12/30/2003	Jeff Scott Eder	AR - 64	7418
53787 ASSET TRUST	7590 11/04/200 C, INC.	EXAMINER		
2020 MALTBY ROAD SUITE 7362 BOTHELL, WA 98021		MANSFIELD, THOMAS L		
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3624	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			11/04/2009	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Advisory Action After the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.		Applicant(s)	
10/748,890		EDER, JEFF SCOTT	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	THOMAS MANSFIELD	3624	

	THOMAS MANSFIELD	3624				
The MAILING DATE of this communication app	ears on the cover sheet with the co	rrespondence add	dress			
The reply filed <u>19 October 2009</u> is acknowledged.						
 The reply filed on or after the date of filing of an ap Appeals and Interferences, will <u>not</u> be entered bec 		sion by the Board	of Patent			
a. The amendment is not limited to canceling claims (where the cancellation does not affect the scope of any other pending claims) or rewriting dependent claims into independent form (no limitation of a dependent claim can be excluded in rewriting that claim). See 37 CFR 41.33(b) and (c).						
b. The affidavit or other evidence is not time See 37 CFR 41.33(d)(2).	ly filed before the filing of an appe	eal brief.				
2. The reply is not entered because it was not filed within the two month time period set forth in 37 CFR 41.39(b), 41.50(a)(2), or 41.50(b) (whichever is appropriate). Extensions of time under 37 CFR 1.136(a) are not available.						
Note: This paragraph is for a reply filed in respinctudes a new ground of rejection (37 CFR 47 response to a remand by the Board of Patent (37 CFR 41.50(a)(2)); or (c) a Board of Patent rejection (37 CFR 41.50(b)).	1.39(a)(2)); (b) a supplemental ex Appeals and Interferences for fur	aminer's answer v her consideration	written in of rejection			
3. 🛮 The reply is entered. An explanation of the status of	of the claims after entry is below o	or attached.				
4 ☑ Other: Claims 1-19 and 23-28, remain currently rei	inatad Claima 20 22 wara pravia		, .			
4. \(\times \) Other: Claims 1-19 and 23-28 remain currently rejected. Claims 20-22 were previously withdrawn as being non-						
elected from the previous election/restriction requirement amendments were filed after. Applicant's agruments file	t. Acknowledgement is made tha	nt no after-final cla	<u>nim</u>			
elected from the previous election/restriction requirement amendments were filed after. Applicant's agruments file arguments are the same as previously filed and were ad	t. Acknowledgement is made the date of the date. Acknowledgement is made the date.	nt no after-final cla are not persuasive	<u>nim</u> e. Applicant's			
elected from the previous election/restriction requirement amendments were filed after. Applicant's agruments file	t. Acknowledgement is made the date of the date. Acknowledgement is made the date.	nt no after-final cla are not persuasive	<u>nim</u> e. Applicant's			
elected from the previous election/restriction requirement amendments were filed after. Applicant's agruments file arguments are the same as previously filed and were ad	t. Acknowledgement is made the date of the date. Acknowledgement is made the date.	nt no after-final cla are not persuasive	<u>nim</u> e. Applicant's			
elected from the previous election/restriction requirement amendments were filed after. Applicant's agruments file arguments are the same as previously filed and were ad	t. Acknowledgement is made the date of the date. Acknowledgement is made the date.	nt no after-final cla are not persuasive	<u>nim</u> e. Applicant's			
elected from the previous election/restriction requirement amendments were filed after. Applicant's agruments file arguments are the same as previously filed and were ad	t. Acknowledgement is made the date of the date. Acknowledgement is made the date.	nt no after-final cla are not persuasive	<u>nim</u> e. Applicant's			
elected from the previous election/restriction requirement amendments were filed after. Applicant's agruments file arguments are the same as previously filed and were ad	t. Acknowledgement is made the date of the date. Acknowledgement is made the date.	nt no after-final cla are not persuasive	<u>nim</u> e. Applicant's			
elected from the previous election/restriction requirement amendments were filed after. Applicant's agruments file arguments are the same as previously filed and were ad	t. Acknowledgement is made the date of the date. Acknowledgement is made the date.	nt no after-final cla are not persuasive	<u>nim</u> e. Applicant's			
elected from the previous election/restriction requirement amendments were filed after. Applicant's agruments file arguments are the same as previously filed and were ad	t. Acknowledgement is made the date of the date. Acknowledgement is made the date.	nt no after-final cla are not persuasive	<u>nim</u> e. Applicant's			
elected from the previous election/restriction requirement amendments were filed after. Applicant's agruments file arguments are the same as previously filed and were ad	t. Acknowledgement is made the date of the date. Acknowledgement is made the date.	nt no after-final cla are not persuasive	<u>nim</u> e. Applicant's			
elected from the previous election/restriction requirement amendments were filed after. Applicant's agruments file arguments are the same as previously filed and were ad	t. Acknowledgement is made the date of the date. Acknowledgement is made the date.	nt no after-final cla are not persuasive	<u>nim</u> e. Applicant's			
elected from the previous election/restriction requirement amendments were filed after. Applicant's agruments file arguments are the same as previously filed and were ad	t. Acknowledgement is made the date of the date. Acknowledgement is made the date.	nt no after-final cla are not persuasive	<u>nim</u> e. Applicant's			
elected from the previous election/restriction requirement amendments were filed after. Applicant's agruments file arguments are the same as previously filed and were ad	t. Acknowledgement is made the date of the date. Acknowledgement is made the date.	nt no after-final cla are not persuasive	<u>nim</u> e. Applicant's			
elected from the previous election/restriction requirement amendments were filed after. Applicant's agruments file arguments are the same as previously filed and were ad	t. Acknowledgement is made the date of the date. Acknowledgement is made the date.	nt no after-final cla are not persuasive	<u>nim</u> e. Applicant's			
elected from the previous election/restriction requirement amendments were filed after. Applicant's agruments file arguments are the same as previously filed and were ad	t. Acknowledgement is made the date of the date. Acknowledgement is made the date.	nt no after-final cla are not persuasive	<u>nim</u> e. Applicant's			
elected from the previous election/restriction requirement amendments were filed after. Applicant's agruments file arguments are the same as previously filed and were ad	t. Acknowledgement is made the date of the date. Acknowledgement is made the date.	nt no after-final cla are not persuasive	<u>nim</u> e. Applicant's			
elected from the previous election/restriction requirement amendments were filed after. Applicant's agruments file arguments are the same as previously filed and were ad	t. Acknowledgement is made the date of the date. Acknowledgement is made the date.	nt no after-final cla are not persuasive	<u>nim</u> e. Applicant's			
elected from the previous election/restriction requirement amendments were filed after. Applicant's agruments file arguments are the same as previously filed and were ad	t. Acknowledgement is made the date of the date. Acknowledgement is made the date.	nt no after-final cla are not persuasive	<u>nim</u> e. Applicant's			
elected from the previous election/restriction requirement amendments were filed after. Applicant's agruments file arguments are the same as previously filed and were ad	t. Acknowledgement is made the date of the date. Acknowledgement is made the date.	nt no after-final cla are not persuasive	<u>nim</u> e. Applicant's			